00498

1962/10/17

OUTGOING TELEGRAM Department of State Classification Land 54 Oct 17 532 PRIORITY ACTION: Amenbassy, PARIS TOPOL Amembassy, ANKARA (by pouch) TMROP ŠŠ. Amembassy, ATHENS Amembassy, BONN ЗP Amembassy, BRUSSELS Amembassy, COPENHAGEN Amembassy, LISBON SAL Amembassy, LONDON ARA. Amembassy, LUXEMBOURG (by EUR Amembassy, MOSCOW "EA Amembassy, OSLO Amembassy, OTTAWA
Amembassy, REYKYAVIK (by pod IOP NSC Amembassy, ROME INR Amembassy, STOCKHOLM CIA Amembassy, THE HAGUE NSA OSD Deliver Farley 8 A.M. October 18. ARM NAVY Ref: Depoirtel 626. AIR NIC POLTO 151. POLAD Agenda. Item III sandy years CAB Responses of NATO govts to bilateral US approaches indicate that, I AA PRSY while there will be sympathy US proposal and considerable positive support, RMR it may be difficult obtain unequivocal POIAD position in favor outright rejection any Soviet overflight or landing requests for aircraft destined Cube. Key countries from practical viewpoint are UK and Canada through which flights sofar performed. ReactionsUK and Canada indicate they may be willing find means effectively thwart Soviet flights without giving appearance acting arbitrarily or under pressure from US. However, UK and Canada presumably more likely take effective action if other NATO govts express positive EUR: RPM: Irain M. Tobin TRC:AV:MIStyles:ec 10/17/62---BNA - Mr. Bergeson S - Mr. Stoffel

TRC:AV:MStylediec 10/17/62

S - Mr. Stoffel

BNA - Mr. Bergeson

CM - Mr. Follestad (substance)

SOV - Mr. Boxden (sub)

REPRODUCTION FROM TO

REPRODUCTION FROM TO

COMMANDE TO

COMMANDE

PA "Examptions

DOWNGRADE TS to () S or () C OADR

support US proposal.

Accordingly IS rep should endeavor call forth as much support for US proposal as possible with aim of obtaining POLAD agreement as set forth reftel or agreement thaty QUOTE member countries will take appropriate steps to assure Soviets not able overfly or stop their territory on flights destined Cube UNQUOTE. If agreement to neither possible, USEO should report views expressed with its recommendations re further action.

If reps raise question of effect of denial Soviet requests on bilateral civil air transport relations with USSR, Department believes most cogent reply that contained reftel. We consider retaliation argument largely smoke screen without to our knowledge basis in actual past experience. It clearly evident present bilsteral air transport relations with USSR based on full reciprocity. Aranting overflight rights to Soviets for Cuban flights, as in case UK (see London's 227 to Paris), has not rpt not resulted in reciprocity, e.g., permission for British aircraft overfly USSE to third countries.

EMD.

RUSK